Implementation Agreement

Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 Public Law 105-263 (as amended)

Federal Land Transaction Facilitation Act of 2000 Public Law 106-248

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	7
The Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998	7
The Federal Land Transaction Facilitation Act of 2000	9
Development and Maintenance of the Implementation Agreement	10
II. OBJECTIVES	11
III. ORGANIZATION, RESPONSIBILITIES AND RELATIONSHIPS	11
Secretary, United States Department of the Interior	11
Bureau of Land Management Nevada State Office (NSO)	11
Bureau of Land Management National Business Center (NBC)	12
Bureau of Land Management-Las Vegas Field Office (LVFO) Division	13
Bureau of Land Management-Las Vegas Field Office (LVFO) Division of SNPLMA Acquisition, Improvement, and Conservation Programs	13
The Four Federal Land Management Agencies	14
SNPLMA/FLTFA Interagency and Intergovernmental Organizational Units A. The Federal Partners Executive Committee B. The Partners Working Group C. SNPLMA/FLTFA Subgroups Capital Improvements Subgroup (SNPLMA) Parks, Trails, and Natural Areas Subgroup (SNPLMA Clark County Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) Development Subgroup Conservation Initiatives Subgroup (SNPLMA) Land Acquisition Subgroup (SNPLMA/FLTFA) D. Resource Advisory Councils. Lake Tahoe Interagency, Intergovernmental, and Private/Public Organizational Units A. The Tahoe Regional Executive Committee B. The Tahoe Working Group C. Lake Tahoe Federal Advisory Committee	
D. Lake Tahoe Science Consortium IV. JOINT SELECTION OF PARCELS FOR SALE WITHIN THE SNPLMA DISI	

V. SNPLMA/FLTFA RECOMMENDATION DEVELOPMENT PROCESS	24
Nomination Period	24
A. Nomination for Acquisition of Environmentally Sensitive Land or Interests in Land	
Nomination of of Conservation Easements as an Interest in Environmentally Sensitive Land	
B. Nomination of Capital Improvement Projects	
C. Nomination of Park Trail and Natural Area Projects	
D. Nomination of MSHCP Projects	
E. Conservation Initiative Projects	
SNPLMA Nominations—General Requirements	28
Ranking Nominations	29
A. Ranking Nominations for Acquisition of Land and/or Interests in Land	29
B. Ranking Nominations for Capital Improvements	29
C. Ranking Nominations for Parks, Trails, and Natural Areas	
D. Ranking Nominations for Development of Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plans	
E. Ranking Nominations for Conservation Initiatives	
Assembling SNPLMA and FLTFA Preliminary Recommendation Package	30
Public Review of the SNPLMA/FLTFA Preliminary Recommendation Package	30
Development of SNPLMA Final Recommendation and Nevada FLTFA Final Recommendation	21
A. SNPLMA Final Recommendation	31
B. Final FLTFA Recommendation	
Secretarial Review and Approval	32
VI. LAKE TAHOE RECOMMENDATION DEVELOPMENT PROCESS	32
Nomination of Lake Tahoe Restoration Projects	32
A. Tahoe Capital Projects:	
B. Tahoe Science Projects	
Ranking Nominations for Lake Tahoe Restoration Projects	34
Assembling Lake Tahoe Restoration Projects Preliminary Recommendation	35
Public Review of Preliminary Recommendation for Lake Tahoe Restoration Projects	35
Lake Tahoe Restoration Projects Final Recommendation Development and Public Review	35
A. Development of Lake Tahoe Final Recommendation	
B. Public Review of Lake Tahoe Final Recommendation	
C. Approval of Lake Tahoe Final Recommendation for Submittal Through SNPLMA Executive Committee	
VII. 2005 SOLICITOR'S OPINION REGARDING INTERPRETATION OF SNPLN	
Direct Versus Indirect Costs	57
Authority of Executive Committee to Determine Allowable Expenses	38

Payments from the Special Account
VIII. SPECIAL ACCOUNT TRANSFER PROCESS FOR ALL PROJECT CATEGORIES
IX. SPECIAL ACCOUNT OBLIGATION AND REIMBURSEMENT PROCESS FOR ALL PROJECT CATEGORIES40
Submit Required Documentation to Request Inter-Governmental Order/Task Order41
Review and Process the Request for Intra-Governmental Order/Task Order44
Agencies Open Reimbursable Account45
Prepare and Submit Reimbursement Request Package for IGO/Task Order45
Reimbursement/Payment for Projects Which Cannot Be Completed
X. REQUESTING PROJECT MODIFICATIONS46
Requests for Additional Funds from Special Account Reserve47
Requests for Additional Funds through Budget Reallocation
Requests for Time Extensions
Requests for Change in Project Scope
Requests to Place a Project In On-Hold Status
Requests to Terminate a Project Prior to Completion
XI. CONSIDERATION, APPROVAL THRESHOLDS, AND PROCESS FOR PROJECT MODIFICATIONS
SNPLMA Division
Partners Working Group53
Executive Committee
SNPLMA Division Processes Amendment to the IGO/Task Order, Documents Modifications for Projects Under Transfer
XII. ADDITIONAL GUIDELINES BY PROJECT CATEGORY FUNDED EITHER BY THE OBLIGATION/ REIMBURSEMENT PROCESS OR THE TRANSFER PROCESS54

Land Acquisition Projects	55
A. Acquisition Necessary Expenses	55
B. FLTFA Acquisition Allowed Costs	55
C. Acquisition Time Frame	55
D. Acquisitions On Hold	
E. Acquisition Change in Scope	55
F. Acquisition Appraisal Cost	56
G. Acquisition Mineral Study Costs	56
H. Acquisitions with Third-Party Reserved or Owned Mineral Rights	56
Parks, Trails, and Natural Areas	56
A. PTNA Project Perpetual Ownership, Management	57
B. Short-Term PTNA Projects	57
C. Section 106 Consultation.	
D. PTNA NEPA.	
E. Reimbursements Under Cooperative Agreements for PTNA Projects	57
Advance Payments to Meet Immediate Needs	
D. PTNA Acquisition of Land & Interest in Land	58
PTNA Acquisition Perpetual Ownershi	58
PTNA Land Acquisition Appraisals	58
PTNA Valuation of Low Cost Acquisitions	59
PTNA Title Insurance for Acquisitions	59
PTNA Phase I Hazmat Study for Acquisitions.	59
PTNA Relocation Assistance for Acquisitions.	59
Capital Improvements	60
MONORPOLICA	CO
MSHCP Development Projects	60
Conservation Initiatives	60
Lake Tahoe Restoration Projects	61
A. Memorandums of Understanding with Tahoe Participating Federal Agencies	62
B. Reprogramming of Tahoe Funds from Primary to Secondary Approved Projects	
C. Tahoe 1151 Transfer of Funds	62
D. Tahoe Sponsoring Federal Agency Responsibility	63
E. Tahoe Requests for Additional Funds	
F. Tahoe Reprogramming of Approved Funds	63
G. Tahoe Requests for Change in Scope	64
H. Tahoe Requests for Time Extensions	64
I. Tahoe Reimbursement/Payment Documentation Package	
Virgin River MSHCP	65
XIII. SPECIAL ACCOUNTS RESERVES (SAR)	65
Requests to Cover Unexpected Shortfalls Between Estimated and Actual Costs	65
Requests for Special Account Reserve Funds for a New Emergency Project	66
VIV. DDE DDODOCAL DLANNING DECEDVE	00
XIV. PRE-PROPOSAL PLANNING RESERVE	66
Process for Requesting Pre-Proposal Planning Funds	67

Additional Guidelines and Restrictions Regarding Pre-Proposal Planning Funds	68
Funding Pre-Proposal Planning Efforts	69
XV. APPROVALS	70
APPENDICES	
Appendix A – Definitions	71
Appendix B – Necessary Expenses.	75
Appendix B-1 – Estimated Necessary Expense Sheet for SNPLMA Land Acquisitions	84
Appendix B-2 – Estimated Necessary Expense Sheet for FLTFA Land Acquisitions	85
Appendix B-3 – Estimated Necessary Expense Sheet for Capital Improvements	86
Appendix B-4 – Estimated Necessary Expense Sheet for PTNA Land Acquisitions	87
Appendix B-5 – Estimated Necessary Expense Sheet for PTNA Projects	88
Appendix B-6 – Estimated Necessary Expense Sheet for MSHCP Development Project	ets 89
Appendix B-7 – Estimated Necessary Expense Sheet for Conservation Initiatives	90
Appendix B-8 – Estimated Necessary Expense Sheet for Lake Tahoe Projects	91
Appendix B-9 – Estimated Other Necessary Expense Sheet	92
Appendix C – Land and/or Interest in Land Acquisition Funding Criteria	93
Appendix D – Capital Improvements Funding Criteria	98
Appendix E – Parks, Trails, and Natural Areas Funding Criteria	101
Appendix F – Clark County Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan Funding Criteria	ı 104
Appendix G – Conservation Initiatives Funding Criteria	106
Appendix H Documentation Requirements for SNPLMA & FLTFA Land Acquisitions	108
Appendix H-1 Documentation Requirements for Capital Improvements	110
Appendix H-2 Documentation Requirements for PTNA Land Acquisitions	111

Appendix H-3 Documentation Requirements for PTNA Projects	113
Appendix H-4 Documentation Requirements for MSHCP Development Projects	114
Appendix H-5 Documentation Requirements for Conservation Initiatives	115
Appendix H-6 Documentation Requirements for Lake Tahoe Projects	116
Appendix I – Lake Tahoe Capital Project Proposal Form	117
Appendix J – Request Format for Pre-Proposal Planning Funds	118
Appendix J-1 – Pre-Proposal Planning Effort Estimated Necessary Expenses	120
Appendix K – SNPLMA Project Modification Request Form	121
Appendix L – "1151" Transfer Procedures	121
Appendix M – Sample Relocation Assistance Assessment Under the Uniform Act	128
Appendix N – History of the Implementation Agreement	129
FIGURES	
Figure 1 – Joint Selection Process for Land Sales	23
Figure 2 – Process Flow Chart – Allocation of the SNPLMA Special Account	25
Figure 3: Lake Tahoe SNPLMA Project Recommendation Flow Chart	33
Figure 4 – Process Flow Chart: Acquisition of Land and/or Interest in Land	42
Figure 5– Process Flow Chart: Capital Improvements; Conservation Initiatives; Park, Tra Natural Areas; MSHCP; Lake Tahoe	

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

The Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998

The Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act (P.L. 105-263) (SNPLMA) was passed by Congress and signed into law in October 1998. The SNPLMA provides for the disposal of public land within a specific area in the Las Vegas Valley and creates a Special Account (SNPLMA Special Account) into which 85% of the revenue generated by land sales or exchanges in the Las Vegas Valley is deposited. The remaining 15% is split between the State (5%) and the Southern Nevada Water Authority (10%).

Additional legislation has amended the SNPLMA by including additional public land in the disposal area, authorizing deposit of certain land sale revenues into the SNPLMA Special Account, adding Conservation Initiatives as a new expenditure category, adding Lake Tahoe Restoration Act projects as another category, and authorizing certain revenues be set aside for specific purposes. The amending legislative acts are:

- Consolidated Appropriation Act of 1999 (P.L. 106-113);
- Ivanpah Valley Airport Public Lands Transfer Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-362);
- Clark County Conservation of Public Land and Natural Resources Act of 2002 (P.L. 107-282); and
- Department of Interior and Related Agencies Appropriation Act (P.L. 108-108).
- Lincoln County Conservation, Recreation, and Development Act of 2004 (P.L. 108-424)

The SNPLMA, as amended, authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to approve expenditure of the revenue in the SNPLMA Special Account for the following categories:

- Acquisition of environmentally sensitive land in Nevada, with priority given to lands within Clark County;
- Capital Improvements at the Lake Mead National Recreation Area, the Desert National Wildlife Refuge [Complex], the Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area and other areas administered by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) in Clark and Lincoln Counties, and the Spring Mountain National Recreation Area;
- Development of a multi-species habitat conservation plan (MSHCP) in Clark County;
- Development of parks, trails, and natural areas in Clark and Lincoln Counties pursuant to a cooperative agreement with units of local government or regional governmental entities;
- Conservation Initiatives on Federal land in Clark and Lincoln Counties, Nevada, administered by the Department of Interior or the Department of Agriculture;

- Federal Environmental Restoration projects under section 6 and 7 of the Lake Tahoe Restoration Act, Environmental Improvement Payments under section 2(g) of Public Law 96-586, and any Federal environmental restoration project included in the environmental improvement program adopted by the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency in February 1998 (as amended) "in an amount equal to the cumulative amounts authorized to be appropriated for such projects under those Acts" as directed in Appropriation Bill 108-108, Section 341 & 342 (2003); and
- Reimbursement of costs incurred by the BLM in arranging sales or exchanges under the SNPLMA. Language in Senate Report 106-99 (on S-192) provided clarification to this provision, noting that it was the intent of Congress that this "shall include not only the direct costs for these sales and exchanges, but also other BLM administrative costs associated with implementing the provisions of the Act."

Certain revenues deposited into the SNPLMA Special Account are designated and set aside for specific purposes. These set asides include:

- Acquisition of in holdings within the Mojave National Preserve in California and
 protection and management of petroglyph resources in Clark County, utilizing revenues
 from the disposal of lands in the Ivanpah Valley for an airport. These revenues are not
 available until the lands are transferred to Clark County, Nevada, and a final Record of
 Decision pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 has been issued
 which permits development of the airport at the Ivanpah site;
- Acquisition of environmentally sensitive land in the Lake Tahoe Basin pursuant to the Santini-Burton Act of 1980 (P.L. 96-586), utilizing revenue deposited in the SNPLMA special account from the conveyance (e.g., sale, lease, etc.) of parcels within the SNPLMA disposal boundary which are also within the disposal boundary established by the Santini-Burton Act;
- Expenditure of revenues from the sale of Parcel A identified on the map entitled "Southern Nevada Public land management Act" dated October 1, 2002, are set aside in the SNPLMA Special Account pursuant to the Clark County Conservation of Public Land and Natural Resources Act of 2002 (P. L. 107-282) for management of the Sloan Canyon National Conservation Area; and
- Development of a MSHCP for the Virgin River and associated groundwater monitoring utilizing revenue from the sale of certain land to the City of Mesquite.
- Up to \$6 million dollars for a "Water Resources Study of the Basin and Range Carbonate Aquifer System (BARCAS) in White Pine County, Nevada and Adjacent Areas in Nevada and Utah" pursuant to provisions of the Lincoln County Conservation, Recreation, and Development Act of 2004 (P.L. 108-424);

The SNPLMA grants the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture the authority to determine whether land proposed for acquisition is "environmentally sensitive," defined in the Act as land that would:

"...promote the preservation of natural, scientific, aesthetic, historical, cultural, watershed, wildlife and other values contributing to the public enjoyment and biological diversity; enhance recreational opportunities and public access; provide the opportunity to achieve better management of public land through consolidation of Federal ownership; or otherwise serve the public interests."

The SNPLMA requires the Secretary of the Interior to "coordinate the use of the [SNPLMA] special account with the Secretary of Agriculture, the State of Nevada, local governments, and interested persons, to ensure accountability and demonstrated results," and to cooperate with the Secretary of Agriculture in submitting an annual report to Congress.

As noted above, Appropriation Bill 108-108, Section 341 & 342 (2003), authorizes the Secretary of Interior to transfer funds to the Secretary of Agriculture, or if the Secretary of Agriculture enters into a cooperative agreement with another Federal agency, the head of the Federal agency, for Federal environmental restoration projects under sections 6 and 7 of the Lake Tahoe Restoration Act (114 Stat. 2354), environmental improvement payments under section 2(g) of Public law 96-586 (94 Stat. 3382) and any Federal environmental restoration projects included in the Environmental Improvement Program (EIP) adopted by the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency in February 1998 (as amended). The amount of funds shall be "in an amount equal to the cumulative amounts authorized to be appropriated for such projects under those Acts."

The Federal Land Transaction Facilitation Act of 2000

The Federal Land Transaction Facilitation Act (P.L. 106-248) (FLTFA), commonly referred to as the "Baca Act", was passed by Congress and signed into law on July 25, 2000. The FLTFA provides for the revenues from the disposal of public land nationwide, identified for disposal as of the date of passage of the FLTFA, to be deposited into a Special Account (FLTFA Account) created by the FLTFA. Ninety-six (96%) of the revenue generated by eligible land sales or exchanges is deposited into the FLTFA Account and the remaining 4% is distributed to the State.

The FLTFA authorizes the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture to expend the revenue in the FLTFA Account for:

Acquisition of in holdings within the boundaries of federally designated areas, and lands
adjacent to federally designated areas which contain exceptional resources as defined in
the Act. Not less than 80% of the amount deposited into the FLTFA Account shall be
used for such acquisitions, and not less than 80% of amount allocated for acquisitions
must be expended within the State in which the funds were generated. Up to 20% of the
amount allocated for acquisitions may be expended in any other State; and

• Reimbursement of administrative and other expenses incurred by the BLM necessary to carry out the land disposal program under the FLTFA. No more than 20% of the amount deposited into the FLTFA Account may be used for this purpose.

The FLTFA directs the Secretary of the Interior to report activities under the FLTFA in the annual publication of the Public Land Statistics. Effective on May 5, 2003, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), National Park Service (NPS), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), and USDA Forest Service (FS) entered into a national-level Memorandum of Understanding (FLTFA MOU) for the interagency implementation of the FLTFA. The FLTFA MOU further allocated the acquisitions amount to each agency as follows: 60% to BLM, 20% to FS, 10% to FWS, and 10% to NPS. Consistent with the FLTFA, the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture may mutually decide to allocate funds to a specific acquisition project, notwithstanding the allocations set forth in the FLTFA MOU. In addition, the FLTFA MOU established the Land Transaction Facilitation Council, consisting of the signatories of the FLTFA MOU, and directed the preparation of state-level implementation plans.

Development and Maintenance of the Implementation Agreement

The Implementation Agreement was first developed for the SNPLMA in 1999 by a team of representatives from the BLM, FWS, FS, and NPS. The purpose of the agreement was to address how the Federal agencies could work together to implement the portions of the SNPLMA that require coordination both within the Department of the Interior and between the Department of the Interior and the Department of Agriculture. The team also addressed the requirements related to coordination and consultation with the State of Nevada, local governments, and interested parties. The team assembled a document, entitled *The Federal* Partners Charter, which outlined a collaborative process for developing a recommendation for the Secretary of the Interior regarding the SNPLMA Special Account expenditures. The Federal Partners Charter was executed to produce the Round 1 Recommendation for the expenditure of the SNPLMA Special Account. The lessons learned in executing the process have proven valuable in modifying certain terms of the Charter in order to make the process more efficient and effective. A continuous improvement approach was adopted, and the Charter, renamed *The* Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act (SNPLMA) Implementation Agreement in May 2000, has been revised on an annual basis. A history of the annual revisions can be found in Appendix N.

This Implementation Agreement is expected to continue to evolve during the life of the Acts. Maintenance of the Implementation Agreement is the responsibility of the Partners Working Group. BLM will prepare draft revisions based on processes put into place with the Executive Committee since the previous version was approved, SNPLMA Division recommendations, Executive Committee decisions regarding changes in policy, comments and suggestions from Federal managers and SNPLMA Subgroup members, and Working Group recommendations. The BLM will distribute the draft revisions for review and comment by the subgroups and others involved in implementation of the Acts. A final draft approved by the Working Group will be submitted to the Executive Committee. All proposed changes must be approved by the Executive Committee before they take effect except those effective as of the date of prior Executive Committee decisions.